

ORAL PRESENTATION



Influence of disease prevalence on cost-effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging versus coronary angiography combined with fractional flow reserve testing

Karine Moschetti¹, David Favre², Christophe Pinget³, Guenter Pilz⁴, Steffen E Petersen⁵, Anja Wagner⁶, Jean-Blaise Wasserfallen⁷, Juerg Schwitter^{8*}

From 17th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions New Orleans, LA, USA. 16-19 January 2014

Background

According guidelines, patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) should undergo revascularization if myocardial ischemia is present. While coronary angiography (CXA) allows the morphological assessment of CAD, the fractional flow reserve (FFR) has proved to be a complementary invasive test to assess the functional severity of CAD, i.e. to detect ischemia. Perfusion Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) has emerged as a robust non-invasive technique to assess myocardial ischemia. The goal of the study was to compare the cost-effectiveness ratio - defined as the costs per patient correctly diagnosed - of two algorithms used to diagnose hemodynamically significant CAD in relation to the pretest likelihood of CAD: 1) a CMR to assess ischemia before referring positive patients to CXA (CMR+CXA), 2) a CXA in all patients combined with a FFR test in patients with angiographically positive stenoses (CXA+FFR).

Methods

The costs, evaluated from the health care system perspective in the Swiss, German, United Kingdom (UK), and the United States (US) contexts, included public prices of the different tests considered as outpatient procedures, complications' costs and costs induced by diagnosis errors (false negative). The effectiveness criterion was the ability to accurately identify a patient with significant, i.e. hemodynamically relevant CAD. Test performances used in the model were based on clinical literature. Using a mathematical model, we compared the cost-effectiveness ratio for both algorithms for hypothetical patient cohorts with different pretest likelihood of CAD.

Results

The cost-effectiveness ratio decreased hyperbolically with increasing pretest likelihood of CAD for both strategies. CMR+CXA and CXA+FFR were equally cost-effective at a pretest likelihood of CAD of 62% in Switzerland, 65% in Germany, 83% in the UK, and 84% in the US with costs of CHF 5'793, \notin 1'517, £ 2'683, and \$ 2'128 per patient correctly diagnosed. Below these thresholds, CMR+CXA showed lower costs per patient correctly diagnosed than CXA+FFR.

Conclusions

Implications for the health care system/professionals/ patients/society The CMR+CXA strategy is more costeffective than CXA+FFR below a CAD prevalence of 62%, 65%, 83%, and 84% for the Swiss, the German, the UK, and the US health care systems, respectively. These findings may help to optimize resource utilization in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. They show to what extent the cost-effectiveness to diagnose CAD depends on the prevalence of the disease.

Funding

No.

⁸Divison of Cardiology and Cardiac MR Center, University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Moschetti et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Authors' details

¹IEMS and UET, University of Lausanne (Unil) and University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland. ²IEMS, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ³UET, University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland. ⁴Klinik Agatharied, Akademisches Lehrkrankenhaus der LMU, Munich, Hausham, Germany. ⁵National Institute for Health Research Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit at Barts, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK. ⁶Comprehensive Cardiology of Stamford and Greenwich, Stamford, CT 06902, Connecticut, USA. ⁷IEMS and UET, University of Lausanne (Unil) and University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland. ⁸Divison of Cardiology and Cardiac MR Center, University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland.

Published: 16 January 2014

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-O98

Cite this article as: Moschetti *et al.*: **Influence of disease prevalence on cost-effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging versus coronary angiography combined with fractional flow reserve testing**. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2014 **16**(Suppl 1):O98.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit